

**To:** Carolyn Yale/R9/USEPA/US@EPA;CN=Bruce  
Herbold/OU=R9/O=USEPA/C=US@EPA;CN=Tom Hagler/OU=R9/O=USEPA/C=US@EPA;sam  
ziegler[]; N=Bruce Herbold/OU=R9/O=USEPA/C=US@EPA;CN=Tom  
Hagler/OU=R9/O=USEPA/C=US@EPA;sam ziegler[]; N=Tom  
Hagler/OU=R9/O=USEPA/C=US@EPA;sam ziegler[]; am ziegler[]  
**From:** CN=Karen Schwinn/OU=R9/O=USEPA/C=US  
**Sent:** Tue 7/28/2009 4:49:39 PM

In addition to what we've prepared in last few weeks or so, which to remind you include:

- comprehensive Delta briefing paper;
- short list and expanded list of priorities for future funding;
- "impacts paper" asking to expand fy10 SF Bay funds to larger Estuary and to EPA support, and
- proposed roles for new RA,

we need to work on a few more things:

- briefing for new RA, Silva and maybe LPJ, with one of the punch lines being what is EPA's role & interests in the BDCP;
- proposed roles for DD and AD;
- articulation of what we need from HQ.

As part of the briefings, I'd really really like our upper mgrs and HQ to understand a little more about the wq issues. So I'm envisioning a series of powerpoint slides (humor me Bruce), one per critical pollutant, something like:

Ammonia

Source - Sac Regl WWTP

Impacts - TBD

Ongoing work - Studies on effects on Delta species and on food web, contribution to harmful algal blooms and spread of invasives. Research Framework drafted by independent scientists.

Needs - complete studies; determine appropriate permit reqts for Sac Regl.

The point is to get them somewhat conversant and able to understand what's already going on, where EPA should play a role, and what we need to make a meaningful contribution.

That's our agenda for Thursday. What do you think? - K

Sent by EPA Wireless E-Mail Services